arXiv:math/0703320v1 [math.GT] 12 Mar 2007

# The first homology of the group of equivariant diffeomorphisms and its applications

Kōjun Abe [†] and Kazuhiko Fukui [††]

## Abstract

Let $V$ be a representation space of a finite group $G$. We determine the group structure of the first homology of the equivariant diffeomorphism group of $V$ . Then we can apply it to the calculation of the first homology of the corresponding automorphism groups of smooth orbifolds, compact Hausdorff foliations, codimension one or two compact foliations and a locally free $S^1$-action on the 3-sphere.

*AMS classification:* 58D05; 58D10; 57S05

*Keywords:* finite group action; equivariant diffeomorphism group; first homology group; orbifold; compact Hausdorff foliation

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{D}(M)$ denote the group of diffeomorphisms of an $n$-dimensional smooth manifold $M$ which are istopic to the identity through compactly supported diffeomorphisms. Here smooth means differentiable of class $C^\infty$. In [24], Thurston proved that the group $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is perfect, which means $\mathcal{D}(M)$ coincides with its commutator subgroup. It is known that the result is relevant to the foliation theory.

When $M$ is a smooth manifold with boundary, Fukui [13] proved that $H_1(\mathcal{D}([0,1])) \cong \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}$ and we proved in [5] that the group $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is perfect if $n > 1$. Here the first homology group of a group $K$ is given by $H_1(K) = K/[K,K]$. We also have the same result in the relative versions. There are many analogous results on the group of a smooth manifold $M$ preserving a geometric structure of $M$.

In this paper we first treat in the case of a representation space $V$ of a finite group $G$. Let $\mathcal{D}_G(V)$ denote the group of equivariant smooth diffeomorphisms of $V$ which are $G$-isotopic to the identity through compactly supported equivariant smooth diffeomorphisms. Then we shall prove that $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V))$ is isomorphic to $H_1(Aut_G(V)_0)$ when $\dim V^G = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}_G(V)$ is perfect when $\dim V^G > 0$. Here $Aut_G(V)_0$ is the identity component of the group of $G$-equivariant linear

---

[†] Department of Mathematical Sciences, Shinshu University, Matsumoto 390-6821, Japan
*E-mail address:* kojnabe@gipac.shinshu-u.ac.jp

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.16540058), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

[††] Department of Mathematics, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan
*E-mail address:* fukui@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.17540098), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

automorphisms of $V$, and $V^G$ is the set of fixed points of $G$ on $V$. It is easy to see that $H_1(Aut_G(V)_0)$ is isomorphic to the group of the form $\mathbf{R}^d \times U(1)^\ell$. Then we can completely calculate $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V))$ for any representation space $V$ of a finite group $G$.

Next we mention that the above result can be applied in various way. First we apply it to the case of smooth orbifold. Note that a smooth orbifold $N$ is locally diffeomorphic to the orbit space $V/G$ of a representation space $V$ of a finite group $G$. Using the result by Biestone [9] and Schwarz [19], we see that $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V))$ is isomorphic to $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V/G))$. Combining the above result and the fragmentation lemma we can determine the structure of $H_1(\mathcal{D}(N))$ of the diffeomorphism group $\mathcal{D}(N)$ for any smooth orbifold $N$. Then we see that $H_1(\mathcal{D}(N))$ describes a geometric structure around the isolated singularities.

Let $M$ be a smooth $G$-manifold for a finite group $G$. Then $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(M))$ is isomorphic to $H_1(\mathcal{D}(M/G))$, and we see that $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(M))$ describes the properties of the isotropy representations at the isolated fixed points of $M$. We remark that the above result can be also applied to a smooth manifold $M$ with properly disconnected smooth action and also the modular group to detect the cusp points (see [1]).

Secondly we apply it to the case of foliated manifold. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a compact Hausdorff foliation on a compact smooth manifold $M$. Let $\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$ denote the group of all foliation preserving smooth diffeomorphisms of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ which are isotopic to the identity through foliation preserving diffeomorphisms. Then we can determine the structure of $H_1(\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F}))$ using the result of Tsuboi ([26]), and we see that it describes the holonomy structures of isolated singular leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Calculating $H_1(\mathcal{D}(M))$ for compact smooth orbifolds of dimension less than 3 we shall determine the group structure of $H_1(\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F}))$ for compact foliated manifolds of codimension one and two.

Finally we apply it to a smooth G-manifold when $G$ is a compact Lie group. If $M$ is a principal $G$-manifold with $G$ a compact Lie group, then we proved that the group $\mathcal{D}_G(M)$ is perfect for $\dim(M/G) > 0$ (Banyaga [7] and Abe and Fukui [2]). In [3] we calculated $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(M))$ when $M$ is a smooth $G$-manifold with codimension one orbit. We shall apply the above result to the case of a locally free $U(1)$-action on the 3-sphere, and calculate $H_1(\mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(S^3))$.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we state the main theorem and apply it to the definite cases. §3 is devoted to prove the main theorem. In §4, we apply the main result to the group of diffeomorphisms of an orbifold and calculate the first homology of the group. In §5 and §6, we apply the main result to compact Hausdorff foliations and calculate the first homology of the groups of foliation preserving diffeomorphisms. In §7, we apply the result to the case of a locally free $S^1$-action on the 3-sphere and calculate the first homology of the group of equivariant diffeomorphisms of $S^3$.

The authors would like to thank Takashi Tsuboi who kindly answered their query on Theorem 3.1.

## 2. The first homology of $\mathcal{D}_G(V)$ and applications to the low dimensional cases.

Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional real representation space of a finite group $G$. Let $\mathcal{D}_G(V)$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{D}(V)$ which consists of $G$-equivariant smooth diffeomorphisms of $V$ which are isotopic to the identity through $G$-equivariant smooth isotopies with compact support.

In this section we shall state the main theorem with respect to the first homology $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V))$ and calculate some definite cases. Let $Aut_G(V)$ be the group of $G$-equivariant automorphisms of $V$ and $Aut_G(V)_0$ its identity component. Let $V^G$ be the set of fixed points of $G$ on $V$. Let $\mathfrak{D} : \ \mathcal{D}_G(V) \to A_G(V)_0$ be the map defined by $\mathfrak{D}(f) = df(0)$.

Then we have the following, which is our main result.

**Theorem 2.1** (1) *If* $\dim V^G > 0$, *then* $\mathcal{D}_G(V)$ *is perfect.*
(2) *If* $\dim V^G = 0$, *then* $\mathfrak{D}_* : H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V)) \to H_1(A_G(V)_0)$ *is isomorphic.*

The representation $V$ of $G$ is expressed as $V = \oplus_{i=1}^{d} k_i V_i$, where $V_i$ runs over the inequivalent irreducible representation spaces of $G$ and $k_i$ is a positive integer. Let $Hom_G(V_i)$ be the set of $G$-equivariant homomorphisms of $V_i$.

**Corolally 2.2** *If* $\dim V^G = 0$, *then* $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V)) \cong \mathbf{R}^d \times \overbrace{U(1) \times \cdots \times U(1)}^{d_2}$, *where* $d_2$ *is the number of* $V_i$ *with* $\dim Hom_G(V_i) = 2$.

**Proof**. If $V_i$ is a real restriction of an irreducible complex (resp. quaternionic) representation of $G$, then $Hom_G(V_i)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}$ (resp. $\mathbf{H}$). Otherwise $Hom_G(V_i)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$ (c.f. Adams [6], 3.57). Then

$$Aut_G(k_iV_i) \cong \begin{cases} GL(k_i, \mathbf{R}) & \text{if} \quad Hom_G(V_i) \cong \mathbf{R} \\ GL(k_i, \mathbf{C}) & \text{if} \quad Hom_G(V_i) \cong \mathbf{C} \\ GL(k_i, \mathbf{H}) & \text{if} \quad Hom_G(V_i) \cong \mathbf{H}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $GL(k_i, F) \cong SL(k_i, F) \times F$ for $F = \mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{H}$, where $SL(k_i, F)$ is the special linear group. Since $SL(k_i, F)$ is a simple group,

$$H_1(Aut_G(k_iV_i)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}^* & \text{if} \quad Hom_G(V_i) \cong \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{R}^* & \text{if} \quad Hom_G(V_i) \cong \mathbf{R} \text{ or } \mathbf{H}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$H_1(Aut_G(k_iV_i)_0) \cong \begin{cases} U(1) \times \mathbf{R} & \text{if} \quad \dim Hom_G(V_i) = 2 \\ \mathbf{R} & \text{if} \quad \dim Hom_G(V_i) = 1 \text{ or } 4. \end{cases}$$

Combining Theorem 2.1 with Schur's lemma we have

$$H_1(Aut_G(V)_0) \cong H_1(Aut_G(k_1V_1)_0) \times \cdots \times H_1(Aut_G(k_dV_d)_0)$$

$$\cong \mathbf{R}^d \times \overbrace{U(1) \times \cdots \times U(1)}^{d_2}.$$

This completes the prooof of Corollay 2.2.

Now we describe the following Examples.

**Example 2.3** *Let $V$ be the $n$-dimensional representation space of the cyclic group $\mathbf{Z}_2$ with $\dim V^G = 0$. Then $H_1(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(V)) \cong \mathbf{R}$.*

**Proof**. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$ be the non-trivial one dimensional representation space of $\mathbf{Z}_2$. Then $V \cong n\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 (2) and Corollary 2.2.

Next, we consider the case $V$ is a non-trivial 2-dimensional orthogonal representation space of a finite subgroup of $G$. Then the finite subgroups $G$ of $O(2)$ are classified as follows. $G$ is isomorphic to either $\mathbf{Z}_k$ $(k \geq 2)$ which acts on $V$ as $k$ rotations, the reflection group $\mathbf{D}_1$ which acts on $V$ as a reflection or the dihedral group $\mathbf{D}_l = \{u, v\,; u^l = v^2 = (uv)^2 = 1\}$ $(l \geq 2)$ which acts on $V$ as $l$ rotations and $l$ reflections. Then we have the following.

**Example 2.4** $$H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{R} & \textit{if } G \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \\ \mathbf{R} \times U(1) & \textit{if } G \cong \mathbf{Z}_n \ (n \geq 3) \\ 0 & \textit{if } G \cong \mathbf{D}_1 \\ \mathbf{R}^2 & \textit{if } G \cong \mathbf{D}_2 \\ \mathbf{R} & \textit{if } G \cong \mathbf{D}_n \ (n \geq 3). \end{cases}$$

**Proof**. If $G \cong \mathbf{D}_1$, then $\dim V^G = 1$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 (1) that the group $\mathcal{D}_G(V)$ is perfect. For the case $G \cong \mathbf{Z}_n$ $(n \geq 3)$, $V$ is the real restriction of one dimensional irreducible complex representation of $\mathbf{Z}_n$. By Theorem 2.1 (1) and Corollary 2.2, $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V)) \cong \mathbf{R} \times U(1)$. If $G \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$, then it is a special case of Example 2.3. If $G \cong \mathbf{D}_n$ $(n \geq 3)$, then $V$ is a 2-dimensional real irreducible representation of $G$ which is not isomorphic to the real restriction of a complex representation of $G$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 (2) and Corollary 2.2 that $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V)) \cong \mathbf{R}$. If $G \cong \mathbf{D}_2$, then $V$ is expressed as the direct sum of two inequivalent 1-dimensional real representations of $G$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 (2) and Corollary 2.2 that $H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V)) \cong \mathbf{R}^2$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1.

In this section we shall prove Theorem 2.1. For this purpose we describe the result on the group of leaf preserving diffeomorphisms of the product foliation.

If $V$ is an $n$-dimensional representation space of $G$ with $\dim V^G = n - p$, then $V$ can be expressed as $V = W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ such that $W$ is a representation space of $G$ with $\dim W^G = 0$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be the product foliation of $V$ with leaves of the form $\{\{x\} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}\}$, where $(x, y)$ is a coordinate of $V = W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$. By $\mathcal{D}_L(V, \mathcal{F}_0)$ we denote the group of leaf preserving smooth diffeomorphisms of $(V, \mathcal{F}_0)$ which are isotopic to the identity through leaf preserving smooth diffeomorphisms with compact support. Let $\mathcal{D}_{L,G}(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}, \mathcal{F}_0)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{D}_L(V, \mathcal{F}_0)$ consisting of leaf preserving $G$-equivariant smooth diffeomorphisms of $(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}, \mathcal{F}_0)$ which are isotopic to the identity through leaf preserving $G$-equivariant smooth diffeomorphisms with compact support.

T.Tsuboi [26] proved the perfectness of $\mathcal{D}_L(V, \mathcal{F}_0)$ by looking at the proofs in [15] and [24]. Futhermore he observed the following equivariant version. The point of the proof is observing the group $\mathcal{D}_{L,G}(W \times T^{n-p}, \mathcal{F}'_0)$ to be perfect and using the equivariant fragmentation lemma. Here $\mathcal{F}'_0$ denotes the product foliation of $W \times T^{n-p}$ with leaves of the form $\{pt\} \times T^{n-p}$.

**Theorem 3.1** (T.Tsuboi [26]) *$\mathcal{D}_{L,G}(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}, \mathcal{F}_0)$ is perfect.*

We shall prove Theorem 2.1 (1) by induction of the order $|G|$ of $G$. Note that, from the result by Thurston [24], it holds when $|G| = 1$.

**Theorem 3.2** *$\mathcal{D}_G(W^p \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$ is perfect when $n - p \geq 1$.*

**Proof.** We assume that Theorem 3.2 holds for any finite subgroup $H$ with $|H| < |G|$. For each $f \in \mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$ we shall prove that $f$ can be written as the composition of commutators. The proof is divided into two steps.

*First step.* We shall prove that $f$ can be expressed as $f = f_1 \circ f_2$ such that

(a) $f_1 \in [\mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}),\ \mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})]$

(b) $f_2 \in \mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$ with $supp(f_2) \subset W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$.

For the purpose, by using the fragmentation lemma (c.f. [2], Lemma 1), we can assume that $f$ is close to the identity in the $C^\infty$-topology of $\mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$ and $supp(f)$ is contained in the $\delta$-neighborhood $B_\delta$ of a point $(0,0) \in \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$. Then, there exist $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$ such that

(1) $f = g_2 \circ g_1$,

(2) $g_1$ and $g_2$ are close to the identity supported in $B_\delta$,

(3) $g_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{L,G}(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}, \mathcal{F}_0)$,

(4) $g_2$ is written of the form $g_2(x,y) = (\hat{g}_2(y)(x), y)$ such that $\hat{g}_2(y) \in \mathcal{D}_G(W)$ for any $x \in W,\ y \in \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$.

By Theorem 3.1, $g_1$ is expressed as a product of commutators of elements in $\mathcal{D}_{L,G}(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}, \mathcal{F}_0)$ which is the subgroup of $\mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$.

Next we shall prove that $g_2$ can be expressed as a product of commutators of elements in $\mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$. Let $\mathrm{d}\hat{g}_2(y)(0) \in Aut_G(W)_0$ be the differential of $\hat{g}_2(y)$ at $x = 0$ for each $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$. Since $g_2$ is close to the identity in the $C^\infty$-topology, the map $d\hat{g}_2(\cdot)(0) :\ \mathbf{R}^{n-p} \to Aut_G(W)_0$ is $C^1$-close to the unit map $1_W$ and is supported in $B'_\delta$, where $B'_\delta$ is the $\delta$-neighborhood at 0 in $\mathbf{R}^{n-p}$. Let $q = \dim Aut_G(W)_0$. Then it follows from Lemma 4 of [2] that there exist $G_i : \mathbf{R}^{n-p} \to Aut_G(W)_0$ and $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^{n-p})$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, q)$, satisfying that

(i) $\varphi_i$ is $C^1$-close to the identity and is suppoted in $B'_\delta$,

(ii) $G_i$ has a compact support and the image of $G_i$ is contained in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $1_W$ and

(iii) $d\hat{g}_2(\cdot)(0) = (G_1^{-1} \cdot (G_1 \circ \varphi_1)) \cdot \cdots \cdot (G_q^{-1} \cdot (G_q \circ \varphi_q))$.

Let $\mu : W \to [0,1]$ be a $G$-equivariant smooth function satisfying that $\mu(x) = 1$ for $||x|| \leq \dfrac{1}{2}$ and $\mu(x) = 0$ for $||x|| \geq 1$ and $\mu$ is monotone decreasing with respect to the distance from the origin. Then we have smooth diffeomorphisms $h_{G_i} : W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p} \to W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ $(i = 1, ..., q)$ defined by

$$h_{G_i}(x,y) = (\mu(x)G_i(y)(x) + (1-\mu(x))x,\ y)\,.$$

By the property (ii), $h_{G_i}$ is a $G$-equivariant smooth diffeomorphism of $W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ and $h_{G_i}(x,y) = (G_i(y)x, y)$ for $||x|| \leq \dfrac{1}{2}$. For each $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^{n-p})$, we put

$$F_{\varphi_i}(x,y) = (x, \mu(x)\varphi_i(y) + (1-\mu(x))y).$$

Since $\varphi_i$ is $C^1$-close to the identity, $F_{\varphi_i}$ is a $G$-equivariant smooth diffeomorphism of $W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ . If $||x|| \leq \dfrac{1}{2}$, then $F_{\varphi_i}^{-1}(x,y) = (x, \varphi_i^{-1}(y))$. Then we have that

$$h_{G_i}^{-1} \circ F_{\varphi_i}^{-1} \circ h_{G_i} \circ F_{\varphi_i}(x,y) = (G_i(y)^{-1} \cdot G_i(\varphi_i(y)) \cdot x, y).$$

for $||x|| \leq \dfrac{1}{2}$.

Now we obtain the following by easy calculations.

**Lemma 3.3** *On a neighborhood of* $0 \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$, $h_{\mathrm{d}\hat{g}_2(\cdot)(0)}$ *is coincide with* $\prod_{i=1}^{q}[h_{G_i}^{-1}, F_{\varphi_i}^{-1}]$ *which is contained in* $[\mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}), \mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})]$.

Put $g_3 = (\prod_{i=1}^{q}[h_{G_i}^{-1}, F_{\varphi_i}^{-1}])^{-1} \circ g_2$. Then $g_3$ has of the form $g_3 = (\hat{g}_3(y)(x), y)$ such that $\hat{g}_3(y) \in \mathcal{D}_G(W)$ for any $x \in W,\ y \in \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$. Note that $g_3$ is a $G$-equivariant smooth diffeomorphism and the differential $d\hat{g}_3(y)(0) = 1_W$ for any $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ and $supp(\hat{g}_3) \subset B'_\delta$.

Let $c$ be a real number with $0 < c < 1$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$ such that $\psi(x,y) = (cx, y)$ for $y \in B'_\delta$ and $supp(\psi) \subset B_{2\delta}$.

Then the Jacobi matrix $J((g_3 \circ \psi)(\cdot\,,\, y))$ at $x = 0$ is the scalar matrix by $c$ for each $y \in B'_\delta$. Note that each diffeomorphism $(g_3 \circ \psi)(\cdot\,,\, y)$ satisfies the conditions in Sternberg [21] and [22]. By using the parameter version of Borel theorem (c.f. Narashimham [16], §1.5.2), we have the parameter version of Sternberg's theorem. Then there exists a smooth diffeomorphism $R$ of $W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ with compact support such that

(1) $(R^{-1} \circ (g_3 \circ \psi) \circ R)(x,y) = \psi(x,y)$, for $(x,y) \in B_\delta$.

(2) $R(x,y) = (x + S(x,y), y)$,

where $S$ is 1-flat at each point of $\{0\} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$, as a mapping of $x$, with compact support.

By averaging on the group $G$, we define

$$\tilde{R}_t(x,y) = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g \in G}(x + g^{-1} \cdot (tS(g \cdot x, y)),\ y)$$

for $(x,y) \in W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Here $|G|$ is the order of $G$. Then $\tilde{R}_t : W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p} \to W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ is a smooth $G$-map. Since $S$ is 1-flat at each point of

$\{0\} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$, as a mapping of $x$, $\{\tilde{R}_t\}$ is a smooth $G$-isotopy on a neighborhood of $\{0\} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ in $W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$. Note that $\{\tilde{R}_t\}$ has a compact support and $\tilde{R}_0$ is the identity map. Combining the isotopy extension theorem with the isotopy integration theorem by Bredon [11], Chapter IV, Theorem 3.1, there exists a $G$-isotopy $\{\hat{R}_t\}$ with compact support such that $\{\hat{R}_t\}$ coincides with $\{\tilde{R}_t\}$ on a neighborhood $U$ of $\{0\} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ and $\hat{R}_0$ is the identity map.

If $(x, y) \in U$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi \circ \hat{R}_1(x,y) &= \psi(\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} g^{-1} \cdot R(g \cdot (x,y))) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} g^{-1} \cdot \psi(R(g \cdot (x,y))) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} g^{-1} \cdot (R \circ g_3 \circ \psi(g \cdot (x,y)) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} g^{-1} \cdot R(g \cdot (g_3 \circ \psi(x,y))) \\
&= \hat{R}_1(g_3 \circ \psi(x,y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\psi \circ \hat{R}_1 = \hat{R}_1 \circ g_3 \circ \psi$ on $U$. Put

$$g_4 = g_3 \circ (\hat{R}_1^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \hat{R}_1 \circ \psi^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Then $g_4 = 1$ on $U$ and $g_3^{-1} \circ g_4$ is containted in the commutator subgroup of $\mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$.

Therefore we have proved that each $f \in \mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$ can be written as $f = f_1 \circ f_2$ satisfying the conditions (a),(b).

*Second step.* We shall prove $f_2$ is written as the composition of commutators in $\mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$. There exist finite points $p_i \in W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$ $(i = 1, \cdots, k)$ and open disk neighborhoods $U(p_i)$ at $p_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, k)$ such that each $U(p_i)$ is a linear slice at $p_i$ and $supp(f_2) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} G \cdot U(p_i)$. By the fragmentation lemma (see [2], Lemma 1), there are $h_i \in \mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$ $(i = 1, \cdots, \ell)$ such that

(1) each $h_j$ is isotopic to the identity through $G$-diffeomorphisms with support in $G \cdot U(p_i)$,

(2) $f_2 = h_1 \circ \cdots \circ h_\ell$.

Since $U(p_i)$ is a linear slice at $p_i$, the isotropy subgroup $G_{p_i}$ acts linearly on $U(p_i)$ and $G \cdot U(p_i)$ is a disjoint union of $|G/G_{p_i}|$ disks. Then from the condition (1), we have

$$h_i(g \cdot U(p_i)) = g \cdot U(p_i) \text{ for } g \in G.$$

Thus $h_i$ is determined by the restriction map $h_i|_{U(p_i)} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{p_i}}(U(p_i))$.

From the assumption of induction, each $h_i|_{U(p_i)}$ can be written as a product of commutators of elements in $\mathcal{D}_{G_{p_i}}(U(p_i))$. Then each $h_i$ is contained in the commutator subgroup of $\mathcal{D}_G(W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p})$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1 (2).** Let $V$ be an $n$ dimensional representation space of a finite group $G$ with $\dim V^G = 0$. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_G(V) \to Aut_G(V)_0$ be the homomorphism defined by $\Phi(f) = df(0)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{D}_G(V)$. It is easy to see that $\Phi$ is epimorphic.

Since $1 \to \ker \Phi \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D}_G(V) \to Aut_G(V)_0 \to 1$ is exact, we have the following exact sequence:

$$\ker \Phi / [\ker \Phi, \mathcal{D}_G(V)] \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(V)) \to H_1(Aut_G(V)_0) \to 1.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 (2) completes from the following.

**Proposition 3.4** $\ker \Phi = [\ker \Phi,\ \mathcal{D}_G(V)]$ .

Let $f \in \ker \Phi$. We shall prove that $f \in [\ker \Phi,\ \mathcal{D}_G(V)]$. We can assume that $supp(f) \subset B_\delta$, where $B_\delta$ is the $\delta$-neighborhood of 0 in $V$. Let $c$ be a real number with $0 < c < 1$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_G(V)$ such that $\psi(x) = cx$ for $x \in B_\delta$ and $supp(\psi) \subset B_{2\delta}$. Then the Jacobi matrix $J(f \circ \psi)$ at $x = 0$ is the scalar matrix by $c$. By the parallel argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can find $\hat{R}_1 \in \mathcal{D}_G(V)$ such that $\hat{R}_1$ is 1-tangent to the identity at the origin and $\psi \circ \hat{R}_1 = \hat{R}_1 \circ f \circ \psi$ on a neighborhood $U$ of 0 in $V$. Put $f_2 = f \circ (\hat{R}_1^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \hat{R}_1 \circ \psi^{-1})^{-1}$. Then $f_2 = 1$ on $U$.

There exist finite points $p_i \in V - U$ $(i = 1, \cdots, k)$ and open disk neighborhoods $U(p_i)$ at $p_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, k)$ such that each $U(p_i)$ is a linear slice at $p_i$ and $supp(f_2) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} G \cdot U(p_i)$ such that

(1) each $h_i$ is isotopic to the identity through $G$-diffeomorphisms with support in $G \cdot U(p_i)$,

(2) $f_2 = h_1 \circ \cdots \circ h_\ell$.

Since $\dim U(p_i)^{G_{p_i}} > 0$, by Theorem 3.2 each $h_i | U(p_i)$ is contained in the commutator subgroup of $D_{G_{p_i}}(U(p_i))$. Then each $h_i$ is contained in the commutator subgroup $[\ker \Phi,\ \mathcal{D}_G(V)]$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.4.

## 4. Application to orbifolds.

In this section we study the groups of smooth diffeomorphisms of smooth orbifolds.

**Definition 4.1** ([18] and [25]). *A paracompact Hausdorff space $M$ is called a smooth orbifold if there exists an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of $M$, closed under finite intersections, satisfying the following conditions.*

(1) *For each $U_i$, there are a finite group $\Gamma_i$, a smooth effective action of $\Gamma_i$ on an open set $\tilde{U_i}$ of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and a homeomorphism $\phi_i : U_i \to \tilde{U_i}/\Gamma_i$. We call $\tilde{U_i}$ a cover of $U_i$.*

(2) *Whenever $U_i \subset U_j$, there is a smooth imbedding $\phi_{ij} : \tilde{U_i} \to \tilde{U_j}$ such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{U_i} \arrow[r, "\phi_{ij}"] \arrow[d, "\phi_i^{-1}\circ\pi_i"'] & \tilde{U_j} \arrow[d, "\phi_j^{-1}\circ\pi_j"] \\
U_i \arrow[r] & U_j,
\end{tikzcd}$$

*where $\pi_k : \tilde{U_k} \to \tilde{U_k}/\Gamma_k (k = i, j)$ are the natural projections. Each $(U_i, \phi_i)$ is called a local chart of $M$.*

Now we consider smooth diffeomorphisms on a smooth orbifold. We refer to Bierstone [9], [10] and Schwarz [19], [20]. Let $M$ be a smooth orbifold. A continuous function $h : M \to \mathbf{R}$ is said to be smooth if for any local chart $(U_i, \phi_i)$ of $M$ the composition $h \circ \phi_i^{-1} \circ \pi_i$ is smooth. A continuous map $f : M \to M$ is said to be smooth if for any smooth function $h : M \to \mathbf{R}$, the composition $h \circ f$ is smooth. A homeomorphism $f : M \to M$ is called a smooth diffeomorphism if $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are smooth maps. Let $\mathcal{D}(M)$ denote the group of smooth diffeomorphisms of $M$ which are isotopic to the identity through smooth diffeomorphisms with compact support.

Let $(U_i, \phi_i)$ and $(U_j, \phi_j)$ be local charts of $M$ such that $U_i$ and $U_j$ are diffeomorphic. We can assume that $\tilde{U_i}$ and $\tilde{U_j}$ are invariant open neighborhoods of the origin of representation spaces of $\Gamma_i$ and $\Gamma_j$ respectively. Then by the result of Strub [23], $\Gamma_i$ and $\Gamma_j$ are isomorphic and the corresponding representations are equivalent. Let $(\phi_i^{-1} \circ \pi_i)_* : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\Gamma_i}(\tilde{U_i}) \to \mathcal{D}(U_i)$ be the natural homomorphism. Since $(\phi_i^{-1} \circ \pi_i)_*$ is epimorpic by Bierstone [9], Theorem B, we can give the induced tpology on $\mathcal{D}(U_i)$ . We can naturally regard $\mathcal{D}(U_i)$ as a subgroup of $\mathcal{D}(M)$. Then we give the topology on $\mathcal{D}(M)$ such that a subset $O$ of $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is open if $O \cap \mathcal{D}(U_i)$ is open in $\mathcal{D}(U_i)$ for each local chart $(U_i, \phi_i)$.

**Definition 4.2** *A point $x \in M$ is said to be an isolated singular point if for a local chart $(U_i, \phi_i)$ around $x$, the group $\Gamma_i$ acts on $\tilde{U_i}$ such that $\tilde{x}$ is the isolated fixed point, where $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{U_i}$ with $\pi_i(\tilde{x}) = x$. By the result of Strub* ([23])*, each isolated singular point $x$ determines the equivalence class of a representation space $V_x$ of a finite group $G_x$.*

Let $x_1, \cdots, x_k$ be the isolated singular points of $M$. If $f \in \mathcal{D}(M)$, then $f(x_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, ..., k$. Let $(U_{x_i}, \phi_{x_i})$ be a local chart of $M$ around $x_i$. There exists a smooth $\Gamma_{x_i}$-action on an open set $\tilde{U}_{x_i}$ in $V_{x_i}$ such that $\phi_{x_i} : U_{x_i} \to \tilde{U}_{x_i}/\Gamma_{x_i}$ is diffeomorphic. Let $C_i$ be the center of the group $\Gamma_{x_i}$. Then $C_i$ is naturally regarded as a subgroup of $Aut_{\Gamma_{x_i}}(V_{x_i})$. Let $\overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_i}}(V_{x_i}) = Aut_{\Gamma_{x_i}}(V_{x_i})/C_i$. Take $\tilde{f}_i \in \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_i}(\tilde{U}_i)$ such that $(\phi_{x_i}^{-1} \circ \pi_{x_i})_*(\tilde{f}_i) = f$ on a neighborhood of $x_i$. Let

$$\Psi : \mathcal{D}(M) \to \overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_1}}(V_{x_1})_0 \times \cdots \times \overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_k}}(V_{x_k})_0$$

be a homomorphism defined by

$$\Psi(f) = (d\tilde{f}_1(\tilde{x}_1)C_1, \cdots, d\tilde{f}_k(\tilde{x}_k)C_k),$$

where $\tilde{x}_i$ is the isolated fixed point of $\tilde{U}_{x_i}$ with $\pi_i(\tilde{x}_i) = \phi_{x_i}(x_i)$. If $\dim M > 1$, using Satake [18], Lemma 1, we see that the homomorphism $\Psi$ is well-defined. If $\dim M = 1$, it is clear since $\Gamma_{x_i} = \mathbf{Z}_2$. It is easy to see that $\Psi$ is epimorphic.

**Lemma 4.3** *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U_{x_i})$ such that $\Psi(\varphi) = 0$. Then $\varphi \in [\ker \Psi, \mathcal{D}(M)]$.*

**Proof**. Let $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_{x_i}}(\tilde{U}_{x_i})$ such that $(\phi_{x_i}^{-1} \circ \pi_{x_i})_*(\tilde{\varphi}) = \varphi$. Since $\Psi(\varphi) = 0$, $g = d\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{x}_i) \in C_i$. There exists $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_{x_i}}(\tilde{U}_{x_i})$ such that $\psi = g$ on a $\Gamma_{x_i}$ invariant neighborhood $\tilde{U}_{x_i}^0$ of $\tilde{x}_i$ in $\tilde{U}_{x_i}$. By Proposition 3.4, $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \psi^{-1} \in [\ker \Phi, \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_{x_i}}(\tilde{U}_{x_i})]$. Since $(\phi_{x_i}^{-1} \circ \pi_{x_i})_*(\tilde{\varphi} \circ \psi^{-1}) = \varphi$ on a neighborhood $U_{x_i}^0$ of $x_i$, $\varphi$ coincides with an element $\phi \in [\ker \Psi, \mathcal{D}(M)]$. Since $supp(\varphi \circ \phi^{-1}) \subset U_{x_i} \setminus U_{x_i}^0$, using Theorem 2.1, (1), we can prove $\varphi \circ \phi^{-1} \in [\ker \Psi, \mathcal{D}(M)]$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.

**Theorem 4.4** *Let $M$ be a smooth orbifold and let $x_1, \cdots, x_k$ be the set of isolated singular points of $M$. Then the induced map*

$$\Psi_* : \ H_1(\mathcal{D}(M)) \to \mathbf{H}_1(\overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_1}}(V_{x_1})_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_1(\overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_k}}(V_{x_k})_0)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

**Proof**. Let $f \in \ker(\Psi)$. Then $f$ can be written as $f = h_n \circ \cdots \circ h_1$ with $h_j \in \mathcal{D}(U_{i_j})$ $(j = 1, ..., n)$ such that $(U_{i_j}, \ \phi_{i_j})$ is a local chart of $M$, and $h_j \in ker\Psi$. Using Theorem 2.1 (1) and Lemma 4.3, $h_j \in [\mathcal{D}(M), \mathcal{D}(M)]$. Thus $\ker \Psi \subset [\mathcal{D}(M), \mathcal{D}(M)]$.

Since there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \ker \Psi \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D}(M) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_1}}(V_{x_1})_0 \times \cdots \times \overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_k}}(V_{x_k})_0 \to 1,$$

we have the following exact sequence of homology groups:

$$\begin{aligned} &\ker \Psi / [\ker \Psi, \mathcal{D}(M)] \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_1(\mathcal{D}(M)) \\ &\to \mathbf{H}_1(\overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_1}}(V_{x_1})_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_1(\overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_k}}(V_{x_k})_0) \to 1. \end{aligned}$$

From the above argument we have $\iota_* = 0$, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

**Remark 4.5** *Note that*

$$C_i/[C_i,\, Aut_{\Gamma_{x_i}}(V_{x_i})_0] \to H_1(Aut_{\Gamma_{x_k}}(V_{x_k})_0) \to H_1(\overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_i}}(V_{x_i})_0) \to 1$$

*is exact. Since $C_i$ is a finite group, by Corollary 2.2, $H_1(\overline{Aut}_{\Gamma_{x_i}}(V_{x_i})_0)$ is isomorphic to $H_1(Aut_{\Gamma_{x_k}}(V_{x_k})_0)$.*

Combining the fragmentation lemma (see [2], Lemma 1) and Theorem 2.1 we have the following.

**Theorem 4.6** *Let $G$ be a finite group and $M$ a smooth $G$-manifold. If the orbit space $M/G$ has $\{G\cdot p_1, ..., G\cdot p_k\}$ as the isolated singular points, then*

$$H_1(\mathcal{D}_G(M)) \cong H_1(Aut_{G_{p_1}}(T_{p_1}M)_0) \times \cdots \times H_1(Aut_{G_{p_k}}(T_{p_k}M)_0).$$

Here we consider a smooth orbifold $M$ of dimension 2. In this case, for each isolated singular point $x \in M$, the associate group $\Gamma_x$ is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of $O(2)$. Combining Example 2.4 with Theorem 4.4, we have the following.

**Corolally 4.7** *Suppose that $M$ has $n_1$ isolated singular points with associate group $\mathbf{Z}_2$, $n_2$ isolated singular points with associate group $\mathbf{Z}_p (p \geq 3)$, $n_3$ isolated singular points associated with $\mathbf{D}_2$ and $n_4$ isolated singular points with associate gorоup $\mathbf{D}_r (r \geq 3)$. Then we have*

$$H_1(\mathcal{D}(M)) \cong \overbrace{\mathbf{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{R}}^{n_1+n_2+2n_3+n_4} \times \overbrace{S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1}^{n_2}.$$

## 5. Application to compact foliations.

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional compact connected smooth manifold without boundary and $\mathcal{F}$ a codimension $q$ smooth foliation of $M$. A smooth diffeomorphism $f : M \to M$ is called *a foliation preserving diffeomorphism* (resp. *a leaf preserving diffeomorphism*) if for each point $x$ of $M$, the leaf through $x$ is mapped into the leaf through $f(x)$ (resp. $x$), that is, $f(L_x) = L_{f(x)}$ (resp. $f(L_x) = L_x$), where $L_x$ is the leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ which contains $x$. By $\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$(*resp.* $\mathcal{D}_L(M, \mathcal{F})$) we denote the group of all foliation preserving (resp. leaf preserving) smooth diffeomorphisms of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ which are isotopic to the identity through foliation preserving (resp. leaf preserving) diffeomorphisms.

Then Tsuboi proved the following by looking at the proofs of Hermann[15] and Thurston [24].

**Theorem 5.1**(Tsuboi [26], Rybicki [17]). *$\mathcal{D}_L(M, \mathcal{F})$ is perfect.*

A foliation with all leaves compact is said to be a compact foliation. A compact foliation is said to be a compact Hausdorff foliation if the leaf space

$M/\mathcal{F}$ is Hausdorff. In this section we study the first homology of $\mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F})$ for compact Hausdorff foliations. Then we have the following nice local picture for a compact Hausdorff smooth foliation of codimension $q$.

**Proposition 5.2**(Epstein [12]) . *There is a generic leaf $L_0$ with property that there is an open dense subset of $M$, where the leaves have all trivial holonomy and are all diffeomorphic to $L_0$. Given a leaf $L$, we can describe a neighborhood $U(L)$ of $L$, together with the foliation on the neighborhood as follows. There is a finite subgroup $G(L)$ of $O(q)$ such that $G(L)$ acts freely on $L_0$ on the right and $L_0/G(L) \cong L$. Let $D^q$ be the unit disk. We foliate $L_0 \times D^q$ with leaves of the form $L_0 \times \{pt\}$. This foliation is preserved by the diagonal action of $G(L)$, defined by $g(x,y) = (x \cdot g^{-1}, g \cdot y)$ for $g \in G(L)$, $x \in L_0$ and $y \in D^q$. So we have a foliation induced on $U = L_0 \times_{G(L)} D^q$. The leaf corresponding to $y = 0$ is $L_0/G(L)$. Then there is an embedding $\varphi : U \to M$ with $\varphi(U) = U(L)$, which preserves leaves and $\varphi(L_0/G(L)) = L$.*

**Definition 5.3** . A leaf $L$ in $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *singular leaf* if $G(L)$ is not trivial. A singular leaf $L$ is called an *isolated singular leaf* if the origin of $D^q$ is an isolated fixed point of $G(L)$.

Note that there are finitely many isolated compact leaves in $\mathcal{F}$ since $M$ is compact. Then we have the following.

**Theorem 5.4** . *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a compact Hausdorff foliation of $M$ and $L_1, \cdots, L_k$ be all isolated singular leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Then we have*

$$H_1(\mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F})) \cong H_1(Aut_{G(L_1)}(D^q)_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_1(Aut_{G(L_k)}(D^q)_0).$$

We consider the case $q = 1$. Then we have the following.

**Corollary 5.5** . *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension one compact foliation of $M$.*
(1) *If $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely orientable, then $\mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F})$ is perfect.*
(2) *If $\mathcal{F}$ is not transversely orientable, then $H_1(\mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F})) \cong \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$.*

**Proof**. For the case $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely orientable, $\mathcal{F}$ is a bundle foliation. In this case the proof follows from the first part of the proof of Theorem 5.4 below. Next we consider the case $\mathcal{F}$ is not transversely orientable. A non-trivial finite subgroup of $O(1)$ is $O(1)$ itself, which is generated by the reflection. Then we have $Aut_{O(1)}(D^1)_0 = GL_+(1,\mathbf{R})$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ has only two singular leaves in this case, the proof follows from Theorem 5.4.

We consider the case $q = 2$. Then finite subgroups $G$ of $O(2)$ are as follows:
$G$ is either a group of $k$ rotations which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_k$ $(k \geq 2)$ or a group of $l$ rotations and $l$ reflections which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{D}_l = \{u, v\,; u^l = v^2 = (uv)^2 = 1\}$ $(\ell \geq 1)$.

**Definition 5.6**. A singular leaf $L$ in $\mathcal{F}$ is called a rotation leaf with holonomy $\mathbf{Z}_p$ or a dihedral leaf with holonomy $\mathbf{D}_l$ according that $G(L)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_k$ or $\mathbf{D}_l (\ell \geq 2)$.

Then we have the following.

**Corollary 5.7**. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension two compact foliation of $M$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ has $n_1$ rotation leaves with holonomy $\mathbf{Z}_2$, $n_2$ rotation leaves with holonomy $\mathbf{Z}_p (p \geq 3)$, $n_3$ dihedral leaves with holonomy $\mathbf{D}_2$ and $n_4$ dihedral leaves with holonomy $\mathbf{D}_\ell (\ell \geq 3)$. Then we have*

$$H_1(\mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F})) \cong \overbrace{\mathbf{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{R}}^{n_1+n_2+2n_3+n_4} \times \overbrace{S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1}^{n_2}.$$

**Proof**. This follows from Theorem 5.4 and Example 2.4.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 5.4

First we consider the case where $\mathcal{F}$ has no singular leaves. Then we shall prove that $H_1(\mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F}))$ vanishes, that is, $\mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F})$ is perfect. In this case, we have a smooth fiber bundle $p : M \to M/\mathcal{F} \cong B$, where $B$ ia a compact smooth manifold of dimension $n - q$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(B)$ the group of all smooth diffeomorphisms of $B$ which are isotopic to the identity. Then we define a map $p_* : \mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{D}(B)$ by $p_*(f)(\bar{x}) = p \circ f(x)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F})$ and $\bar{x} \in B$, $x \in M$ with $p(x) = \bar{x}$.

Then we have the following Lemma, which is easily proved and is left to the reader.

**Lemma 6.1**. *$p_*$ is an epimorphism.*

Take any $f \in \mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F})$. Then we may assume $f$ is close to the identity $1_M$. Thus $\bar{f} = p_*(f)$ is close to the identity $1_B$. From the perfectness of $\mathcal{D}(B)$ (Thurston [24]), there exist $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \cdots, \bar{g}_{2k} \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ satisfying $\bar{f} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} [\bar{g}_{2i-1}, \bar{g}_{2i}]$. From Lemma 6.1, there are $g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{2k} \in \mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F})$ satisfying that $p_*(g_i) = \bar{g}_i \, (i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2k)$. Then since $p_*(f \circ (\prod_{i=1}^{s} [g_{2i-1}, g_{2i}])^{-1}) = 1_B$ and $f \circ (\prod_{i=1}^{s} [g_{2i-1}, g_{2i}])^{-1}$ is close to the identity $1_M$, $f \circ (\prod_{i=1}^{s} [g_{2i-1}, g_{2i}])^{-1}$ is contained in the connected component of $\ker p_*$, which is $\mathcal{D}_L(M,\mathcal{F})$. From Theorem 5.1, $f \circ (\prod_{i=1}^{s} [g_{2i-1}, g_{2i}])^{-1}$ is expressed as a composition of commutators of elements in $\mathcal{D}_L(M,\mathcal{F})$. This proves that $\mathcal{D}(M,\mathcal{F})$ is perfect.

Next we shall prove the case where $\mathcal{F}$ has isolated singular leaves. Let $L$ be an isolated singular leaf and $U(L)$ a saturated neighborhood of $L$, which is foliation preserving diffeomorphic to $U = L_0 \times_{G(L)} D^q$ as in Proposition 5.2. We identify $U(L)$ with $U$.

Take $f \in \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Note that $f$ preserves $L$. Then $f\mid_U$ can be lifted to a foliation preserving and $G(L)$-equivariant embedding $\tilde{f} : L_0 \times D^q \to L_0 \times D^q(r)$ up to $G(L)$-action for some $r > 0$, where $D^q(r)$ is the disk of radius $r$, centered at the origin. Thus we have a $G(L)$-equivariant embedding $\bar{f} : D^q \to D^q(2)$. We assign $f$ to the differential of $\bar{f}$ at the origin, $d\bar{f}(0)$. We denote this map by $\Phi_L : \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F}) \to Aut_{G(L)}(D^q)_0$ which is a homomorphism.

**Lemma 6.2**. *The map $\Phi_L$ is surjective.*

**Proof**. For any $A \in Aut_{G(L)}(D^q)_0$, we can get a $G(L)$-equivariant diffeomorphism $\bar{f} : V^q \to V^q$ satisfying that $d\bar{f}(0) = A$ and $\bar{f}$ is supported in $D^q$, where $V^q$ is the representation space of $G(L)$. Then we define a foliation preserving and $G(L)$-equivariant diffeomorphism $\tilde{f} : L_0 \times D^q \to L_0 \times D^q$ by $\tilde{f}(x, y) = (x, \bar{f}(y))$, where $(x, y)$ denotes the local coordinate of $L_0 \times D^q$. $\tilde{f}$ induces the foliation preserving diffeomorphism $f\mid_U$: $L_0 \times_{G(L)} D^q \to L_0 \times_{G(L)} D^q$. Then we can extend $f\mid_U$ to a foliation preserving diffeomorphism of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ by putting the identity outside of $U$. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 6.3**. *Let $L$ be an isolated singular leaf and $U$ a saturated neighborhood of $L$. Suppose that $f \in \ker \Phi_L$ is supported in $U$. Then $f$ can be expressed as a composition of commutators of elements with support in $U$ in* $\ker \Phi_L$ *and $\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$, that is, $f \in [\ker \Phi_L, \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})]$.*

**Proof**. Let $f \in \ker \Phi_L$. Then from Proposition 3.4, the $G(L)$-equivariant diffeomorphism $\bar{f} : D^q \to D^q$ can be expressed as a composition of commutators of elements which are extendable to elements in $\ker \Phi$ and $\mathcal{D}_{G(L_i)}(V^q)$, say $\bar{f} = \prod_{j=1}^{s} [\bar{f}_{2j-1}, \bar{f}_{2j}]$, where $\bar{f}_{2j-1} \in \ker \Phi$ and $\bar{f}_{2j} \in \mathcal{D}_{G(L_i)}(V^q)$. From Lemma 6.2, we can lift $\bar{f}_j\,(j = 1, 2, \cdots, 2s)$ to foliation preserving diffeomorphisms $f_j\,(j = 1, 2, \cdots, 2s)$ satisfying that each $f_j$ is supported in $U$ and $f_{2\ell-1} \in \ker \Phi_L (1 \leq \ell \leq s)$. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 6.4**. *Let $L$ be a non-isolated singular leaf and $U$ a saturated neighborhood of $L$. Suppose that $f \in \ker \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$ is supported in $U$. Then $f$ can be expressed as a composition of commutators of elements with support in $U$ in $\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$.*

**Proof**. Let $\bar{f} : D^q \to D^q$ be the $G(L)$-equivariant diffeomorphism induced from $f$ as above. Note that $\dim V^{G(L)} > 0$. From Theorem 2.1(2), we see that $\bar{f} : D^q \to D^q$ can be expressed as a composition of commutators of elements in $\mathcal{D}_{G(L)}(V^q)$ with support in $D^q$. As in the proof of Proposition 6.2, these elements can be lifted to foliation preserving diffeomorphisms of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ with support in $U$. This completes the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 5.4**. Let $L_1, \cdots, L_k$ be all isolated singular leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Let

$$\Phi : \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F}) \to Aut_{G(L_1)}(D^q)_0 \times \cdots \times Aut_{G(L_k)}(D^q)_0$$

be the homomorphism defined by $\Phi(f) = (\Phi_{L_1}(f), \cdots, \Phi_{L_k}(f))$ for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Take $f \in \ker \Phi$. Then by using the fragmentation argument, there are $f_1, \cdots, f_n \in \ker \Phi\, (n > k)$ such that
(1) $f_j$ is supported in a saturated neighborhood $U_j$ of the isolated singular leaf $L_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and is supported in a saturated neighborhood $U_j$ of a non-isolated singular leaf or non-singular leaf $L_j$ for $j > k$, and
(2) $f = f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_1$.

Since there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \ker \Phi \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\Phi} Aut_{G(L_1)}(D^q)_0 \times \cdots \times Aut_{G(L_k)}(D^q)_0 \to 1,$$

we have the following exact sequence of homology groups:

$$\begin{aligned} &\ker \Phi / [\ker \Phi, \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})] \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_1(\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})) \\ &\quad \to H_1(Aut_{G(L_1)}(D^q)_0 \times \cdots \times Aut_{G(L_k)}(D^q)_0) \to 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then since the fact of the non-singular case, Proposition 6.3 and Proposition 6.4 imply the equality $\ker \Phi = [\ker \Phi, \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})]$, the proof is complete.

## 7. Application to an $S^1$-action on $S^3$

In this section we shall apply Theorem 2.1 to the case of a locally free $S^1$-action on the 3-dimensional sphere.

We consider $S^1$ as the set of unit complex numbers $U(1)$ and put $S^3 = \{(w_1, w_2) \in \mathbf{C} \mid |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 = 1\}$ with $U(1)$-action given by

$$z \cdot (w_1, w_2) = (zw_1, z^2 w_2), \quad z \in U(1).$$

Then it has two orbit types $\{(1), \mathbf{Z}_2\}$ and the orbit space $S^3/U(1)$ is homeomorphic to the space known as the tear drop which is the two dimensional sphere with one isolated singular point. Let $V^1$ denote the non-trivial one dimensional representation space of $\mathbf{Z}_2$. Note that the slice representation at the point $e = (0, 1) \in S^3$ coincides with $V \oplus V$. Then by Example 2.3 we have

**Proposition 7.1**. $H_1(\mathcal{D}(S^3/U(1))) \cong \mathbf{R}$.

In the rest of this section we shall prove the following.

**Theorem 7.2**. $H_1(\mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(S^3)) \cong \mathbf{R} \times U(1)$.

Let $W$ be an invariant tubular neighborhood of the exceptional orbit $U(1)\cdot e$. Put $\tilde{D} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 | \; x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$.Then $W$ can be identified with $\tilde{D} \times S^1$ on which the $U(1)$-action is given by

$$z \cdot (w_1, w_2) = (zw_1, z^2 w_2), \qquad z \in U(1), \; (w_1, w_2) \in \tilde{D} \times S^1.$$

We can consider the group $\mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)$ and $\mathcal{D}(W/U(1))$ as the subgroups of $\mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(S^3)$ and $\mathcal{D}(S^3/U(1))$ respectively. Let

$$P:\ \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(S^3) \to \mathcal{D}(S^3/U(1))$$

be the canonical map induced from the natural projection from $S^3$ to $S^3/U(1)$.

Note that $\{x^2, y^2, xy\}$ is a Hilbert basis of $\mathbf{R}[V^2]^{\mathbf{Z}_2}$. Let $\pi:\ W \to \mathbf{R}^3$ be the map defined by

$$\pi(x, y, w_2) = (x^2, y^2, xy), \qquad (x, y, w_2) \in W.$$

Let $\iota:\ \tilde{D}/\mathbf{Z}_2 \to W/U(1)$ be the map given by

$$\iota(\mathbf{Z}_2 \cdot w) = U(1) \cdot (w, 1), \qquad w \in \tilde{D}.$$

Here we refer the smooth structure of the orbit space of a representation space of a Lie group which was studied by Bierstone [9] and Schwarz [19], [20]. Note that $\tilde{D}$ is a slice of the orbit $U(1) \cdot e$. Then the inclusion map $i:\ \tilde{D} \hookrightarrow W$ induces the isomorphism $i^*:\ C^\infty_{U(1)}(W) \to C^\infty_{Z_2}(\tilde{D})$ of the algebras of the invariant functions spaces. Then by the definition of the smooth structure of the orbit space, the map $\iota$ is diffeomorphic. Let $p:\ \tilde{D} \to \mathbf{R}^3$ denote the map given by $p(x, y) = (x^2, y^2, xy)$. By Bierstone [10], §2, $p(\tilde{D})$ is diffeomorphic to the orbit space $\tilde{D}/\mathbf{Z}_2$. Therefore we have the diffeomorphisms of the spaces

$$W/U(1) \cong \tilde{D}/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong p(\tilde{D}).$$

Let $P_0:\ \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(\tilde{D}) \to \mathcal{D}(p(\tilde{D})) \cong \mathcal{D}(\tilde{D}/\mathbf{Z}_2)$ be the canonical map induced from $p$. Here we consider the manifold $(\tilde{D} \times U(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2$ with $U(1)$-action given by

$$z \cdot [w, u] = [w, zu], \qquad z \in U(1),\ (w, u) \in \tilde{D} \times U(1)$$

where $[w, u]$ denotes the equivalence class $\mathbf{Z}_2 \cdot (w, u)$. There exists an equivariant diffeomorphism $\gamma:\ (\tilde{D} \times U(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2 \to W$ defined by $\gamma([w, u]) = (uw, u^2)$. We identify $U(1)$ with $S^1$ by the canonical way. Then $\gamma$ induces the isomorphism

$$\gamma_*:\ \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}((\tilde{D} \times U(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2) \to \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)$$

given by $\gamma_*(h) = \gamma \circ h \circ \gamma^{-1}$.

Let $q:\ (\tilde{D} \times U(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2 \to \tilde{D}/\mathbf{Z}_2$ denote the natural projection. Let $\{w\}$ denote the orbit $\mathbf{Z}_2 \cdot w$ of $w \in \tilde{D}$. Set $\tilde{D}_0 = \tilde{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the restriction $q:\ (\tilde{D}_0 \times U(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2 \to \tilde{D}_0/\mathbf{Z}_2$ is a smooth principal $U(1)$-bundle. Let $s$ be a smooth section of the bundle $q$ defined by

$$s(\{w\}) = [w,\ \frac{\bar{w}}{|w|}], \qquad w \in \tilde{D}_0.$$

Choose $h \in KerP$. Then $h$ (resp. $\gamma_*^{-1}(h)$) preserves the orbits of $W$ (resp. $\tilde{D}_0 \times U(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2$). Thus there exists a smooth map $a_h : \tilde{D}_0/\mathbf{Z}_2 \to U(1)$ such that

$$\gamma_*^{-1}(h)(s(\{w\})) = a_h(\{w\}) \cdot s(\{w\}), \qquad w \in \tilde{D}_0.$$

It follows that

$$\gamma_*^{-1}(h)([w,u]) = [w, a_h(\{w\})u], \qquad [w,u] \in \tilde{D}_0 \times U(1)/\mathbf{Z}_2.$$

**Lemma 7.3**. *The map $a_h$ can be extended to a smooth map $\bar{a}_h$ over $\tilde{D}/\mathbf{Z}_2$.*

**Proof**. If $w \in \tilde{D}_0,\ u \in S^1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h(w,u^2) &= (\gamma \circ \gamma_*^{-1}(h) \circ \gamma^{-1})(w,u^2) \\ &= (\gamma \circ \gamma_*^{-1}(h))([\bar{u}w, u]) \\ &= \gamma([\bar{u}w,\ a_h(\{\bar{u}w\})u]) \\ &= (a_h(\{\bar{u}w\})w,\ a_h(\{\bar{u}w\})^2u^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho_1 : W \to \tilde{D}$ be the projection on the first factor and let $h_1 : W \to \tilde{D}$ be the composition $\rho_1 \circ h$. Since $h$ preserves the orbit $U(1) \cdot e$, $h_1(0,u) = 0$ for any $u \in S^1$. Let $\hat{a}_h : \tilde{D}_0 \to U(1)$ be a map defined by $\hat{a}_h(w) = a_h(\{w\})$. Let $\phi : \tilde{D} \to \tilde{D}$ be a map defined by $\phi(w) = h_1(w,1)$. Then $\phi$ is a smooth map satisfying

$$\phi(w) = \begin{cases} \hat{a}_h(w)w & \text{if } w \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } w = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the Taylor's formula we have

$$\phi(w) = \left( \int_0^1 \phi'(tw)\, dt \right) w, \qquad w \in \tilde{D}.$$

It follows that

$$\text{(7.1)} \qquad \hat{a}_h(w) = \int_0^1 \phi'(tw)\, dt, \qquad w \in \tilde{D}_0.$$

Thus the map $\hat{a}_h$ is extended to a smooth map $\tilde{a}_h$ over $\tilde{D}$ defined by the right hand side of the equation (7.1). Let

$$\bar{a}_h(\{w\}) = \tilde{a}_h(w), \qquad w \in \tilde{D}.$$

By definition $\bar{a}_h$ is a smooth extension of $a_h$ over $\tilde{D}/\mathbf{Z}_2$. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.3.

Let $h \in \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)$. Since $h$ preserves the exceptional orbit $U(1)e$, there exists $z_h \in U(1)$ satisfying $h(e) = z_h \cdot e$. Since the isotropy subgroup of $U(1)$ at the point $e$ is $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$, we have a map

$$T : \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W) \to U(1)/\mathbf{Z}_2$$

defined by $T(h) = z_h\mathbf{Z}_2$.

**Lemma 7.4**. (1) *The map $T$ is a group homomorphism.*
(2) *If $h \in KerP$, then $T(h) = \bar{a}_h(0)\ \mathbf{Z}_2$.*

**Proof**. (1) For $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)$, we have

$$z_{h_1\circ h_2}\cdot e = (h_1\circ h_2)(e) = z_{h_1}\cdot(z_{h_2}\cdot e) = (z_{h_1}z_{h_2})\cdot e.$$

Thus $T$ is a group homomorphism.

(2) Let $h \in KerP$. As in the proof of Lemma 7.3, if $w \in \tilde{D}_0,\ u \in S^1$, then

$$h(w,u^2) = (\bar{a}_h(\{\bar{u}w\})w,\ \bar{a}_h(\{\bar{u}w\})^2u^2).$$

Thus we have

$$T(h)\cdot e = h(0,1) = (0,\ \bar{a}(0)^2) = \bar{a}(0)\cdot e.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 7.4.

Let

$$\Theta:\ \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W) \to \mathcal{D}(W/U(1)) \times U(1)/\mathbf{Z}_2$$

be a map defined by $\Theta(h) = (P(h), T(h))$.

**Lemma 7.5**. *The map $\Theta$ is a surjective group homomorphism.*

**Proof**. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(W/U(1)) \cong \mathcal{D}(\tilde{D}/\mathbf{Z}_2),\ z \in U(1)$. There exists $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(\tilde{D})$ such that $P_0(\hat{f}) = f$. Define

$$h([w,u]) = [\hat{f}(w),\ zu] \quad \text{for } [w,u] \in (\tilde{D}\times U(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\Theta(\gamma_*^{-1}(h)) = (f,\ z\,\mathbf{Z}_2)$ and Lemma 7.5 follows.

**Lemma 7.6**. *Let $a \in C^\infty(p(\tilde{D}))$ with $a(0) = 0$. For $\delta > 0$, there exists $v \in C^\infty(p(\tilde{D}))$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}(p(\tilde{D}))$ such that*
(1) *$v\circ f - v = a$ on some neighborhood of $0$,*
(2) *$|v(\{w\})| \le \delta \quad (w \in \tilde{D})$,*
(3) *$v$ has a compact support.*

**Proof**. Since $a(0) = 0$, the function $a$ has of the form

$$a(X,Y,Z) = c_1X + c_2Y + c_3Z + \xi(X,Y,Z),$$

where $\xi$ is the higher order term. Set $\hat{a} = a\circ p$. Then $\hat{a}$ is a smooth map and

$$\hat{a}(x,y) = c_1x^2 + c_2y^2 + c_3xy + \xi(x^2,y^2,xy) \quad \text{for } x,y \in \tilde{D}.$$

Suppose that the homogeneous terms of degree 2 of $\hat{a}$ is not identically zero. Then there exists a linear transformation

$$(7.2) \qquad \begin{cases} \tilde{x} &= d_1x + d_2y \\ \tilde{y} &= d_3x + d_4y, \end{cases}$$

such that $\hat{a}(x, y)$ is written as

$$\hat{a}(x, y) = \breve{a}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \alpha\tilde{x}^2 + \beta\tilde{y}^2 + \eta(\tilde{x}^2, \tilde{y}^2, \tilde{x}\tilde{y}),$$

where $\eta$ is the higher order term. Then $\breve{a}$ is written as

$$\breve{a}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \tilde{x}^2\mu(\tilde{x}^2, \tilde{y}^2, \tilde{x}\tilde{y}) + \tilde{y}^2\nu(\tilde{x}^2, \tilde{y}^2, \tilde{x}\tilde{y}),$$

where $\mu$ and $\nu$ are the smooth functions. Note that the argument is holds if the homogeneous terms of degree 2 of $\hat{a}$ is identically zero.

The linear transformation (7.2) induces the following linear transformation

$$(7.3) \qquad \begin{cases} \tilde{X} &= d_1X + d_2Y + 2d_1d_2Z, \\ \tilde{Y} &= d_3X + d_4Y + 2d_3d_4Z, \\ \tilde{Z} &= d_1d_3X + d_2d_4Y + (d_1d_4 + d_2d_3)Z, \end{cases}$$

Then $a(X, Y, Z)$ is written as

$$a(X, Y, Z) = \tilde{a}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = \tilde{X}\mu(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) + \tilde{Y}\nu(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}).$$

Let

$$h_0(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = (\tilde{x}\sqrt{1 + \mu(p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}))}, \tilde{y}\sqrt{1 + \nu(p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}))}).$$

Then $h_0$ is a local $\mathbf{Z}_2$-equivariant diffeomorphism on a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0 in $\tilde{D}$ which is equivariantly isotopic to the identity. Then there exists $h \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(\tilde{D})$ which coincides with $h_0$ on a small neighborhood of 0. Set $\tilde{f} = P_0(h) \in \mathcal{D}(p(\tilde{D}))$. Then

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = \Big(\tilde{X}(1 + \mu(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})),\ \tilde{Y}(1 + \nu(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})), \\ \tilde{Z}\sqrt{(1 + \mu(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}))(1 + \nu(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}))}\Big)$$

on some small neighborhood of 0. Let $\tilde{v} :\ p(\tilde{D}) \to \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth function such that

(1) $\tilde{v}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = \tilde{X} + \tilde{Y}$ on a neighborhood $U$ of 0,

(2) $|\tilde{v}(\{w\})| \leq \delta \quad (w \in \tilde{D})$,

(3) $\tilde{v}$ has a compact support.

Then $\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{f} - \tilde{v} = \tilde{a}$ on some neighborhood of 0 in $p(\tilde{D})$. From the linear transformation (7.3), we have the maps $v$ and $f$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} v(X,Y,Z) & = & \tilde{v}(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y},\tilde{Z}), \\ f(X,Y,Z) & = & \tilde{f}(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y},\tilde{Z}). \end{array}$$

Then $v \circ f - v = a$ on some neighborhood of 0 and Lemma 7.6 follows.

**Proposition 7.7**. *$Ker\,\Theta$ is contained in* $[\mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W),\ \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)]$.

**Proof**. Let $h \in \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)$ with $\Theta(h) = 0$. By the fragmentation lemma ([2], Lemma 1), we can assume that $h = h_1 \circ h_2$ such that $h_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(S^3 - U(1) \cdot e)$. Since $U(1)$ acts freely on $S^3 - U(1) \cdot e$, by the main theorem in [2], $h_2 \in [\mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(S^3 - U(1) \cdot e), \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(S^3 - U(1) \cdot e)]$. Thus we can assume $h \in \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)$ with $\Theta(h) = 0$. Note that $h \in\ Ker\,P$ and $T(h) = \mathbf{Z}_2$. By Lemma 7.4 (2) $\bar{a}_h(0) = 1$ or $-1$.

First we assume that $\bar{a}_h(0) = 1$. We shall identify $\tilde{D}/\mathbf{Z}_2$ with $p(\tilde{D})$. Let $\hat{a}_h :\ p(\tilde{D}) \to \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth function such that $\exp(2\pi\hat{a}_h(x)\sqrt{-1}) = \bar{a}_h(x)$ $(x \in p(\tilde{D}))$ and $\hat{a}_h(0) = 0$. By Lemma 7.6 there exist $f \in \mathcal{D}(p(\tilde{D}))$ and $v \in C^\infty(p(\tilde{D}))$ such that

(1) $v \circ f - v = \hat{a}$ on some neighborhood $U$ of 0,

(2) $|v(p(w))| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (w \in \tilde{D})$,

(3) $v$ has a compact support.

Let $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(\tilde{D})$ such that $P_0(\hat{f}) = f$ and let $F \in \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}((\tilde{D} \times U(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2)$ given by $F([w,u]) = [\hat{f}(w), u]$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}((\tilde{D} \times U(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2)$ defined by $\phi([w,u]) = [w,\ \exp(2\pi v(p(w))\sqrt{-1})\,u]$. If $p(w) \in U,\ u \in U(1)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} (\phi^{-1} \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi \circ F)([w,u]) \\ \quad\quad = \quad (\phi^{-1} \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi)([\hat{f}(w), u]) \\ \quad\quad = \quad (\phi^{-1} \circ F^{-1})([\hat{f}(w),\ \exp\left(2\pi v(f(p(w)))\sqrt{-1}\right)\ u]) \\ \quad\quad = \quad \phi^{-1}([w,\ \exp\left(2\pi v(f(p(w)))\sqrt{-1}\right)\ u]) \\ \quad\quad = \quad [w,\ \exp\left(2\pi v(p(w))\sqrt{-1}\right)^{-1} \exp\left(2\pi v(f(p(w)))\sqrt{-1}\right)\ u]) \\ \quad\quad = \quad [w,\ \exp\left(2\pi \hat{a}_h(p(w))\sqrt{-1}\right)\ u] \\ \quad\quad = \quad [w,\ \bar{a}_h(p(w))u] \\ \quad\quad = \quad \gamma_*^{-1}(h)[w,u]. \end{array}$$

Thus $h = [\gamma_*^{-1}(\phi),\ \gamma_*^{-1}(F)]$ on the neighborhood $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of the exceptional orbit $U(1) \cdot e$.

Secondly assume that $\bar{a}_h(0) = -1$. Since $SL(2,\mathbf{R})$ is a perfect group, there exist matrices $A_i, B_i \in SL(2,\mathbf{R})$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that

$$[A_1, B_1] \cdots [A_n, B_n] = -I,$$

where $I$ is the unit matrix. For each matrix $C \in SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ let $h_C \in \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)$ such that

$$\gamma_*^{-1}(h_C)([w,u]) = [Cw, u],$$

on a neighborhood of $U(1) \cdot [0,1]$. Set

$$h' = h \circ ([h_{A_1}, h_{B_1}] \circ \cdots \circ [h_{A_n}, h_{B_n}])^{-1}.$$

Since $a_{h_{-I}}(0) = -1$, we have $a_{h'}(0) = a_h(0) \cdot a_{h_{-I}}(0) = 1$. It follows from the previous argument that there exists $\phi \in \left[\mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W),\ \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)\right]$ such that $h' = \phi$ on a neighborhood of the orbit $U(1) \cdot e$. Hence $h$ also has this property. Thus Proposition 7.7 follows from Lemma 1 and the main theorem in [2].

**Proof of Theorem 7.2**. Let $i : \ Ker\ \Theta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)$ be the inclusion map. Then we have the following exact sequence.

$$\begin{aligned} Ker\,\Theta/\left[Ker\,\Theta,\ \mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(S^3)\right] \xrightarrow{i_*} & \quad H_1(\mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(S^3)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\Theta_*} H_1(\mathcal{D}(S^3/U(1)) \times U(1)/\mathbf{Z}_2) \longrightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 7.7, $i_* = 0$. Then the map $\Theta_*$ is an isomorphism. It follows from Proposition 7.1 that

$$H_1(\mathcal{D}_{U(1)}(W)) \cong \mathbf{R} \times U(1)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong \mathbf{R} \times U(1).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 7.2.

## References

[1] K. Abe, On the diffeomorphism group of a smooth orbifold and its application, to apear in Suriken Kokyuroku, New Evolution of Transformation Group Theory, 1-11.

[2] K. Abe and K. Fukui, On commutators of equivariant diffeomorphisms, Proc. Japan Acad., **54** (1978), 52-54.

[3] K. Abe and K. Fukui, On the structure of the group of equivariant diffeomorphisms of $G$-manifolds with codimension one orbit, Topology, **40** (2001), 1325-1337.

[4] K. Abe and K. Fukui, On the structure of the group of Lipschitz homeomorphisms and its subgroups, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **55-4** (2003), 947-956.

[5] K. Abe and K. Fukui, On the structure of the group of diffeomorphisms of manifolds with boundary and its applications, preprint.

[6] J. F. Adams, Lecture on Lie groups, W.A.Benjamin Inc., (1969).

[7] A. Banyaga, On the structure of the group of equivariant diffeomorphisms, Topology, **16** (1977), 279-283.

[8] A. Banyaga, The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups, Mathematics and its Applications **400**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (1997).

[9] E. Bierstone, Lifting isotopies from orbit spaces, Topology, 14(1975), 245-252.

[10] E. Bierstone, The Structure of Orbit Spaces and the Singularities of Equivariant Mappings, Instituo de Mathematica Pura e Aplicada, (1980).

[11] B. Bredon, Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups, Academic Presss, New York-London, (1972).

[12] D. B. A. Epstein, Foliations with all leaves compact, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **26** (1976), 265-282.

[13] K. Fukui, Homologies of $Diff^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$ ant its subgroups, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **20** (1980), 457-487.

[14] K. Fukui and H. Imanishi, On commutators of foliation preserving Lipschitz homeomorphisms, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **41-3** (2001), 507-515.

[15] M. Herman, Simplicité du groupe des difféomorphismes de class $C^\infty$, isotopes á l'identité, du tore de dimension $n$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Pari Sér. A-B **273** (1971), 232-234.

[16] R. Narashimham, Analysis on Real and Complex Manifolds, North-Holland, (1968).

[17] T.Rybicki, The identity component of the leaf preserving diffeomorphism group is perfect, Monatsh. Math. **120** (1995), 289-305.

[18] I. Satake, The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for V-manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **9-4** (1957), 464-492.

[19] G.W. Schwarz, Smooth invariant functions under the action of a compact Lie group, Topology, 14(1975), 63-68.

[20] G.W. Schwarz, Lifting smooth homotopies of orbit spaces, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., 51(1980) 37-135.

[21] S. Sternberg, Local contractions and a theorem of Poincaré, Amer. Jour. of Math., **79** (1957), 809-823.

[22] S. Sternberg, The structure of local homeomorphisms, II, Amer. Jour. of Math., **80** (1958), 623-632.

[23] R. Strub, Local classification of quotients of smooth manifolds by discontinuous groups, Math. Zeitschrift, **179** (1982), 43-57.

[24] W. Thurston, Foliations and group of diffeomorphisms, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **80** (1974), 304-307.

[25] W. Thurston, The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds, Princeton Univ. Press.

[26] T. Tsuboi, On the group of foliation preserving diffeomorphisms, Foliations 2005, World Scientific, 411-430 (2006).